

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/684,403	Applicant(s) KUWAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Craig A. Renner	Art Unit 2627	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kevin McDermott, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2007
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Includes rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) using Takeuchi et al. (US 2002/0017014).

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 1, 2, 4, 12-17, and 20.

Prior art documents discussed:

Includes Takeuchi et al. (US 2002/0017014).
Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.




(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: By examiner's amendment, language was added into independent claim 1 in order to more clearly define over the prior art, supra, clarifying amendments were made to claims 4 and 20, and non-elected claims 2 and 12-17 were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.



CRAIG A. RENNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER